Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Filings

Energy Efficiency Implementation Project (EEIP)

June 2, 2011



EECRF Overview



Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Factor (EECRF)

- PUC Subst. Rule 25.181
 - **(f)(1)** "A utility shall establish an energy efficiency cost recovery factor (EECRF)...to timely recover the reasonable costs of providing energy efficiency programs"
 - (f)(6) "The EECRF shall be set at a rate that will give the utility opportunity to earn revenues equal to the sum of the utility's forecasted efficiency costs, net of energy efficiency costs included in base rates, the EE bonus amount that it earned for the prior year...and any adjustment for past over- or under-recovery of energy efficiency revenues"

EECRF to be collected during 2012 is based on:

- Estimated 2012 Program Costs
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010
- Over/Under Recovery of Program Costs from 2010
- Other utility-specific inputs (see PUC Dockets for more detail)

EECRF Summary



Cost Caps

 Sum of base rate recovery of energy efficiency costs and the EECRF cannot exceed specified amounts

Residential Customers

- 2011 and 2012
 - Monthly Basis = \$1.30/month
 - Energy Basis = \$0.0010/kWh
- 2013 and thereafter
 - Monthly Basis = \$1.60/month
 - Energy Basis = \$0.0012/kWh

Non-Residential Customers

- 2011 and 2012 = \$0.0005/kWh
- 2013 and thereafter = \$0.00075/kWh

EECRF Summary



Estimated monthly impact to residential customers

Utility	Residential EECRF	Estimated* Monthly Impact
AEP TCC	\$0.000555/kWh	\$0.56
AEP TNC	\$0.000334/kWh	\$0.33
CenterPoint	\$1.11/month	\$1.11
El Paso Electric	\$0.001700/kWh	\$1.02
Entergy Texas, Inc.	\$0.000871/kWh	\$0.87
Oncor	\$0.98/month	\$0.98
SWEPCO	\$0.001260/kWh	\$1.26
TNMP	\$1.17/month	\$1.17
Xcel	\$0.000443/kWh	\$0.44

^{*}Based on EECRFs as filed (Entergy's numbers reflect option 1). For utilities that recover EECRF on a monthly basis, monthly impacts are exact (as filed). For utilities that recover EECRF through a per kWh charge, monthly impacts are estimates. It was assumed that residential customers used 1,000 kWh/month; for EPE, 600 kWh/month was assumed.

AEP Texas Central Company



PUC Docket No. 39360

2012 Estimated Minimum Goal

- 12.93 MW
- 22,657 MWh

2012 Projected Reductions

- **28.35 MW**
- 62,406 MWh

2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$7,136,240

- 2012 Program Costs: \$13,453,744
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$2,579,657
- Over-Recovery from PY 2010: (\$304,535)
- Residential Rider: \$0.000555/kWh

AEP Texas North Company



PUC Docket No. 39361

2012 Estimated Minimum Goal

- (2.28) MW
- (3,992) MWh

2012 Projected Reductions

- **3.94 MW**
- 6,355 MWh

2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$1,404,683

- 2012 Program Costs: \$2,063,023
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$447,620
- Under-Recovery from PY 2010: \$188,470
- Residential Rider: \$0.000334/kWh

CenterPoint Energy



- 2012 Estimated Minimum Goal
 - **47.3 MW**
 - 82,853 MWh
- 2012 Projected Reductions
 - 172.7 MW
 - 165,676 MWh
- 2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$44,336,227
 - 2012 Program Costs: \$35,858,700
 - Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$5,761,382*
 - Under-Recovery from PY 2010: \$525,843
 - Other [ex: LRAM, etc.]: \$2,190,282*
 - Residential Rider: \$1.11/month

^{*}if limited by the Commission's Preliminary Order, the Company will not recover \$4,322,984 of its bonus and LRAM requests

El Paso Electric



- 2012 Estimated Minimum Goal
 - 11.16 MW
 - 19,552 MWh
- 2012 Projected Reductions
 - 11.532 MW
 - 23,104 MWh
- 2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$8,263,039
 - 2012 Program Costs: \$4,384,650
 - Deferred Costs: \$1,976,177
 - Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$833,347
 - Under-Recovery from PY 2010: \$1,068,865
 - Residential Rider: \$0.0017/kWh

Entergy Texas, Inc., EECRF



- 2012 Estimated Minimum Goal
 - **4.75 MW**
 - 8,322 MWh
- 2012 Projected Reductions
 - 12.4 MW [15.5 MW]*
 - 21,725 MWh [27,156 MWh]*
- 2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$8,096,784 [\$11,384,784]*
 - 2012 Program Costs: \$7,456,000 [\$10,744,000]*
 - Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$1,412,014
 - Over-Recovery from PY 2010: (\$771,230)
 - Residential Rider: \$0.000871/kWh [\$0.001244/kWh]

^{*} ETI has asked the Commission to determine whether the focus of the programs is reaching the cost caps or the goal. The first numbers presented are ETI reaching the costs caps for most rate classes, while numbers in brackets, [], represent numbers to reach the 25% of load growth goal.

Oncor



PUC Docket No. 39375

2012 Estimated Minimum Goal

- 53.1 MW
- 93,031 MWh

2012 Projected Reductions

- 108.947 MW
- **250,857 MWh**

2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$53,898,501

- 2012 Program Costs: \$48,973,208
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$8,221,426
- Over-Recovery from PY 2010: (\$3,296,133)
- Residential Rider: \$0.98/month

SWEPCO



PUC Docket No. 39359

2012 Estimated Minimum Goal

- 5.6 MW
- 9,811 MWh

2012 Projected Reductions

- **13.69 MW**
- 20,310 MWh

2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$5,247,868

- 2012 Program Costs: \$4,631,288
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$856,409
- Over-Recovery from PY 2010: (\$239,829)
- Residential Rider: \$0.001260/kWh

TNMP



PUC Docket No. 39362

2012 Estimated Minimum Goal

- 5.90 MW
- 10,333 MWh

2012 Projected Reductions

- **8.68 MW**
- 12,805 MWh

2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$4,439,701

- 2012 Program Costs: \$3,594,334
- Performance Bonus earned in 2010: \$326,203
- Under-Recovery from PY 2010: \$149,317
- Adjustment for PY 2011: \$369,847
- Residential Rider: \$1.17/month

Xcel



- 2012 Estimated Minimum Goal (as reported in 2011 EEPR)
 - 5.22 MW
 - 9,153 MWh
- 2012 Projected Reductions (as reported in 2011 EEPR)
 - 5.61 MW
 - 14,241 MWh
- 2012 Total Requested EECRF: \$2,900,000
 - 2012 Program Costs: \$2,900,000
 - Performance Bonus: N/A
 - Under/Over-Recovery from PY 2010: N/A
 - Residential Rider: \$0.000443/kWh